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I. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

On July 28, 2020, the U.S. Department of Homeland Security (DHS) released a memorandum detailing several significant changes to Deferred Action for Childhood Arrivals, or DACA. This memorandum reduced renewals to one-year, expressly prohibited the adjudication of initial requests and advance parole requests. These changes, however, have significant implications on continued existence and administration of DACA, likely leading to a functional end for most applicants. In effect, the memorandum serves to double the workload of DACA adjudication at U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCIS), double the price of applying, and increase gaps between DACA grants by compounding wait times at USCIS. Through these changes, DHS has hamstrung DACA to the point of functionally ending the policy. This policy change is particularly concerning in light of the Supreme Court ruling on June 18, 2020, that declared the administration’s termination of DACA unconstitutional and ordered a return to the pre-termination standards.

II. BACKGROUND

DACA is a case-by-case, temporary relief from deportation that allows recipients to live and work legally in the United States as long as the deferment is in effect. DACA is temporary, and it does not provide a path to legal status or citizenship. In September 2017, the Trump Administration announced the termination of the DACA program. However, the Supreme Court ruled on June 18, 2020, that the termination was unconstitutional as it violated the Administrative Procedures Act. Later confirmed by a federal court in Maryland, DACA must thus be reset to the conditions of September 2017, meaning DHS must begin accepting renewals, initial DACA applications, and applications for advance parole. After continuing to refuse to process initial applications or advance parole, DHS issued a memo on July 28, 2020, stating that it would reject all initial requests for DACA, employment authorization, and advance parole and limit the status period for DACA grants after the memo to one year rather than two. Acting Secretary Chad Wolf stated that this memo will remain in effect while the department “considers policy and future action” regarding DACA.
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III. ADJUDICATION DELAYS

The DHS memo will likely exacerbate existing delays and turn a two year grant with no gap into a one-year grant with regular lapses. The overall processing times for USCIS have been steadily increasing for years, culminating in a backlog of over 2.3 million cases. The delays have triggered congressional oversight hearings as recently as last summer, and the COVID-19 crisis has only compounded the problem. In addition to office closures that have not been fully reversed, USCIS is currently facing a historic budget crisis and has begun to furlough adjudicators, further exacerbating the backlog and making it substantially more difficult for all immigrants, including DACA recipients, to apply in a timely manner. Applicants also face a variety of COVID-19–related barriers, including difficulty in paying filing fees, limited to no access to legal service providers required for renewal, and the virus itself.

The DHS memo does not look to help matters. Though USCIS recommends recipients apply for renewal 120-150 days before the expiration of their current grant, USCIS currently estimates processing times for DACA applications and renewals anywhere from 8-10 months at certain service centers. By cutting the DACA grant period in half, the new memo essentially doubles the USCIS workload for DACA renewals by requiring recipients to apply for renewal twice as often, which will likely push even the lowest estimated wait period to over a year. Under these conditions, applicants could apply for renewal on day one of their one-year DACA grant period and still encounter gaps between grants due to increased wait times. Gaps in status can lead to the loss of work authorization and the accrual of unlawful presence for those who have held DACA since before they turned eighteen.

IV. INCREASED COST

By requiring DACA recipients to apply and pay the fee for renewal twice as often, DHS effectively doubles the cost of applying for DACA. While the memo states that USCIS should explore ways to reduce cost, it is made clear that this is not a priority. In the Acting Secretary’s words, “[E]ven if renewal fees cannot be reduced, shortening the renewal period is still warranted by my strong desire to limit the scope of the policy during this interim period despite any additional fees incurred by DACA beneficiaries as a result.” To file for renewal, DACA recipients must pay a total of $495 in fees (up from $465 in 2016) in addition to any legal fees, documentation fees, and mailing and printing costs. Unlike many other USCIS application forms, DACA recipients cannot apply for a fee waiver under form I-912, Request for a Fee
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Waiver to assist with these costs. Low income DACA recipients must meet narrower criteria than the usual fee waiver and receive the exemption before they submit their application, adding time to an already delayed process. DACA recipients were thus less likely to qualify for or even attempt to utilize the fee exemption process before DHS increased both the price and the potential processing time. The now yearly fees with a low chance for exemption will likely translate into fewer eligible applicants applying for relief.

V. THREAT TO EDUCATION

The memo will also risk DACA recipients’ education and careers. The functional end of DACA affects DACA-eligible people in higher education as well as graduates beginning their careers. There are approximately 216,000 DACA eligible students currently enrolled in higher education. Many of these students come from families with high levels of poverty. Consequently, these students are unable to rely on their parents for financial assistance and, furthermore, feel obligated to support their families financially. Some DACA recipients have become the “sole provider in [their] home[s] because of COVID-19,” as their family members have lost their jobs. A March 2020 survey of nearly 1,700 TheDream.US scholars enrolled in higher education found that 80 percent of such students experienced income loss due to job loss or reduced hours. Two-thirds of these students needed assistance with their rent or utilities, and half needed assistance with food. Fifty-eight percent of students reported needing mental health support. DACA allows these students to study and work legally to support their education and their families, and any interruption to the program would put them at risk academically and financially.

VI. THREAT TO EDUCATION

In light of the above analysis, we recommend that DHS rescind the July 28, 2020, memorandum and resume accepting initial applications, applications for advance parole, and restore the granting of DACA to two-year periods. However, as DHS, at least under this administration, is
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unlikely to make these changes, we encourage Congress to enact legislation, either in the forthcoming COVID 19 legislative package or this fall’s likely continuing resolution, that would automatically extend work permits and the underlying status for two years for DACA recipients (and all other work-authorized immigrants) as an interim measure until Congress enacts permanent relief.